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Chapter 1: Introduction

Organization and Content

This publication is one of a series of 11 Michigan State University Extension Community Planning and Zoning Audits available to walk a community through a performance audit. Topics are:

1. **Basic Setup** (MSU Extension bulletin number E-3051) makes sure that your planning commission and zoning board of appeals are set up properly and a system is in place to make sure the community keeps up-to-date.

2. **The Plan** (E-3052) reviews the process of plan and plan amendment adoption (to make sure that it was done properly)

Purpose of the Audit

The Community Planning and Zoning Audit is intended for use by local units of government in Michigan to help perform a self-evaluation of the basics of the community’s planning and zoning system. The reason for doing an assessment is to learn of shortcomings and problems before they become controversial issues. As a result of going through this booklet, local officials will be alerted to things that need “fixing” and deficiencies in the community’s files. The document helps accomplish three objectives:

1. Identify liability risks from not following proper procedures and practices, and not having adequate documentation of those procedures and practices.
2. Learn to better manage the planning and zoning administration in your community.
3. Take corrective steps to improve your planning and zoning system.
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reviews an existing plan to determine if it needs to be updated, and reviews what should be in a plan.

3. **Planning Coordination** (E-3053) covers the process of coordination with neighboring government planning (review of each other’s plans); coordination with state, federal, and other government agencies; coordination practices; and joint planning commissions.

4. **The Zoning Ordinance** (E-3054) reviews the process of zoning ordinance and zoning amendment adoption (to make sure that it was done properly) and what needs to be in the file to document that the proper steps were taken. This publication also reviews what should be in a zoning ordinance.

5. **Administrative Structure** (E-3055) provides a performance audit for the operation of the planning commission, zoning administrator, and zoning board of appeals. It covers office procedures, job descriptions, filing systems, bylaws, rules of procedure, compliance with the Open Meetings Act, minutes, and process for meetings and decision making.

6. **Special Land Uses** (E-3056) provides a review of the administrative structure for handling special use permits: pre-applications, applications, public notification, record keeping, and use of standards in making decisions.

7. **Planned Unit Development** (E-3057) provides a review of the administrative structure for handling planned unit development handled as a special use permit and as a zoning amendment: pre-applications, applications, public notification, record keeping, and use of standards in making special use decisions or basis in the plan for zoning amendment decisions.

8. **Site Plan Review** (E-3058) provides a review of the administrative structure for handling site plan reviews: applications, public notification, record keeping, and use of standards in making decisions.

9. **Capital Improvement Program** (E-3104) provides a review of the process of creating an annual capital improvement program (CIP).

10. **Subdivision and Land Splitting Reviews** (E-3105) provides a review of the administrative structure for handling land divisions, subdivisions or plats, site-condominiums, lot splits, and certified plats: preapplication meetings with the developer, public notification, plat review, record keeping, and use of standards in making decisions.

11. **Capital Improvements Review** (E-3106) provides a review of the process for the planning commission to review and comment on local government construction projects (which are otherwise not subject to zoning), and outlines how this review can be used as a constructive way to ensure that government-funded projects comply with the adopted plan and local ordinances.

Each of these Community Planning and Zoning Audits is available at [http://web2.msue.msu.edu/bulletins/subjectsearch.cfm](http://web2.msue.msu.edu/bulletins/subjectsearch.cfm) and [www.msue.msu.edu/lu](http://www.msue.msu.edu/lu), and from your county Extension office.

**How to Use the Audit**

The Community Planning and Zoning Audit is not difficult to complete. However, it does take time and the ability to search for and find various records in your local government. The actions taken as a result of this exercise should help reduce liability risk and improve your community’s planning and zoning program.

The Community Planning and Zoning Audit can be utilized by local units of government in a variety of ways. A community can go through this booklet as a group (e.g., the planning commission or a subcommittee), or a community can have an individual do so. The advantage of performing the assessment as a group is that reviewing the community’s documents and files in detail is a great educational experience for local officials. Alternatively, a staff person within the
planning department may be able to perform the audit quicker because of having greater familiarity with how the unit or government maintains its records.

Additionally, a community can perform the Community Planning and Zoning Audit with certain chapters reviewed by various groups or individuals. For instance, the planning commission could review a few chapters of the audit while the zoning board of appeals addresses another set, and the legislative body performs the evaluations in the remaining chapters. Regardless of the approach taken, the main idea is to take the time to find out where various documents are and to make sure that proper documentation is on file. Then, where necessary, take action to correct any shortcomings.

Upon completion, if your community still has questions or wants help, please contact your county Extension office. They can contact the Michigan State University Land Use Team to provide further assistance and educational programming.

Organization and Content

This Community Planning and Zoning Audit contains the following chapters:

1. Introduction.
2. Capital Improvement Programming.
3. Smart Growth.

The audit is based on Michigan Public Act 110 of 2006, as amended (the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3101 et seq.), Public Act 33 of 2008 (the Michigan Planning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.8101 et seq.), recommendations from members of the MSU Extension Land Use Team, and “best planning practices” derived from a proposed Coordinated Planning Act developed by the Michigan Association of Planning.

The Community Planning and Zoning Audit is not designed to be a substitute for reading and understanding the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act or the Michigan Planning Enabling Act. Nor is this document a substitute for legal advice or for professional planner services. It is important to document each step of the process in planning and zoning a community. Keep detailed minutes, affidavits of publication and mailing, open meeting notices, letters of transmittal, and communications all on file so that years from now they are still available.

Defined Terms

“Appeals board” means the zoning board of appeals (ZBA).
“Certified” (resolution, minutes, ordinance, etc.) means that the keeper of the records for the local unit of government (secretary of the planning commission or clerk of the local unit of government for the planning commission or the clerk of the municipality for the legislative body) provides an affidavit that the copy provided is a true and accurate copy of the document.
“Elected official” means a member of a legislative body.
“Legislative body” refers to the county board of commissioners of a county, the board of trustees of a township, the council of a city or village, or any other similar duly elected representative body of a county, township, city, or village.
“Local unit of government” means a county, township, city, or village.
“Municipality” means a city, village, or township.
“Plan” means any plan or master plan adopted under the Michigan Planning Enabling Act or one of the three former planning acts, regardless of what it is titled.
“Planning commission” means a zoning board, zoning commission,\(^1\) planning commission, or planning board.\(^2\)

---

\(^1\)On or before July 1, 2011, the duties of the zoning commission or zoning board shall be transferred to a planning commission. Thus, the zoning commission or zoning board will no longer exist (M.C.L. 125.3301(2)).

\(^2\)Starting on Sept 1, 2008, “planning boards” needed to be named “planning commissions” even if a charter, ordinance, or resolution says otherwise (M.C.L. 125.3811(1)).
Chapter 2: Capital Improvement Programming

The purpose of this chapter is to help determine if best planning practices and minimum legal requirements are being used to create, adopt, and update capital improvement programs. A capital improvement program (CIP) is a prioritization of various infrastructure and public improvement projects that need to be constructed to successfully implement a community’s adopted plan. The expenses for constructing the physical facilities and infrastructure are often substantial and are spread over several years. The expenses incurred are a capital expense (not part of the operating budget) and need to be prioritized across the whole community to protect the public interests. A capital improvement program covers a six-year time period. The capital improvement program should take into consideration the requirements of all of the departments and agencies in the local government and shall include, in general order of priority, the public improvement projects that will be undertaken in the ensuing six-year period.

All local units of government, except those townships that alone or jointly with one or more local units of government do not own or operate a water supply or sewage disposal system, are required to prepare and update a capital improvement program each year.

You will need the following items for this review:

- Copy of the formally adopted master plan.
- Copy of the documents or legislative body meeting minutes showing the body or individual responsible for preparing capital improvement programs.
- Copy of the present capital improvement program.
### Delegating Responsibility for Preparing a Capital Improvement Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</th>
<th>Negative (need to correct) answer</th>
<th>Action to correct has been done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there a master plan that has been adopted by the planning commission following each of the proper steps for adoption (see Plan <em>A</em> Syst Community Planning and Zoning Audit #2: The Plan)?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No ☐ Having a plan on which capital improvement expenditures is based is a best planning practice. Consideration to amend this should be a priority.</td>
<td>Check this box: to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: to indicate when improvement is done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Does the township alone or jointly with one or more local units of government own or operate a water supply or sewage disposal system?</td>
<td>Yes or N/A ☐ Continue to next question.</td>
<td>No ☐ As per the Michigan Planning Enabling Act, the township is exempt from preparing and updating a capital improvement program each year. However, as a best practice, the township may still choose to prepare an annual CIP.</td>
<td>Check this box: to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: to indicate when improvement is done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</td>
<td>Negative (need to correct) answer</td>
<td>Action to correct has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Is the planning commission of the city, village, township, or county responsible for preparing, adopting, and updating the CIP annually?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ Good. Go to question #6.</td>
<td>No ☐ As per the Michigan Planning Enabling Act, the legislative body by local unit of government charter or otherwise can expressly exempt the planning commission from CIP duties. Go to question #4 to determine compliance with CIP preparation requirements.</td>
<td>Check this box: to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: to indicate when improvement is done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. If the legislative body does not want the planning commission to prepare CIPs, then has the legislative body by local unit of government charter or otherwise expressly exempted the planning commission from annually preparing a CIP?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No ☐ This is required by the Michigan Planning Enabling Act.</td>
<td>Check this box: to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: to indicate when improvement is done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</td>
<td>Negative (need to correct) answer</td>
<td>Action to correct has been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 5. If the planning commission has been expressly exempted from annually preparing a CIP, then:  
1. Does the legislative body annually prepare a CIP separate from or as part of the annual budget?  
OR  
2. Has the legislative body delegated the preparation of the CIP to the chief elected official or a non-elected administrative official? | Yes ☐  
Good. Go to the next question. | No ☐  
This is required by the Michigan Planning Enabling Act. If the legislative body has expressly exempted the planning commission from preparing a CIP and the responsibility is not delegated elsewhere, then the legislative body must prepare a CIP annually. | Check this box: ☐  
to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done.  
Check this box: ☐  
indicate when improvement is done. |

Getting ready to Prepare the Capital Improvement Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</th>
<th>Negative (need to correct) answer</th>
<th>Action to correct has been done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 6. Does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP set up a CIP advisory committee consisting of volunteers from the community or a combination of volunteers and representatives from each department within the local unit of government? | Yes ☐  
Good. Go to the next question. | No ☐  
This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible. | Check this box: ☐  
to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done.  
Check this box: ☐  
indicate when improvement is done. |
| 7. Is the planning department or staff (if one exists) involved in preparing and coordinating the capital improvement process and plan on behalf of the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP or the CIP advisory committee? | Yes ☐  
Good. Go to the next question. | No ☐  
This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible. | Check this box: ☐  
to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done.  
Check this box: ☐  
indicate when improvement is done. |
### Question

8. Does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP include the following individuals, agencies, and departments in preparing the capital improvement plan?

1. Chief executive (city manager, township manager, county controller/administrator, supervisor, city mayor, village president, etc.).
2. All departments within the government (department heads)
3. Finance and budget department: the financial office or the person responsible for conducting the financial analysis and projections on costs and revenues for a capital improvement program and determining the best possible means to finance each capital project.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</th>
<th>Negative (need to correct) answer</th>
<th>Action to correct has been done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes □</td>
<td>No □</td>
<td>Check this box:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
<td>□ to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question

9. At the start of the CIP process, does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP prepare an inventory of all existing capital facilities?

| Yes □ | No □ |
| Good. Go to the next question. | This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible. |

### Question

10. To assist with the preparation of this inventory, does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP request, from each agency or department of the local unit of government that has authority for public structures or improvements, information on the existing level of service for each infrastructure element?

<p>| Yes □ | No □ |
| Good. Go to the next question. | This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</th>
<th>Negative (need to correct) answer</th>
<th>Action to correct has been done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11. Does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP request, from each agency or department of the local unit of government that has authority for public structures or improvements, an annual inventory of desired public improvement projects with lists, plans, and estimates of time and cost involved?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No ☐ This is required by the Michigan Planning Enabling Act. The agency or department of the local unit of government upon request is required to furnish information about public structures and improvements to the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP. Start to do so immediately.</td>
<td>Check this box: to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: to indicate when improvement is done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Before starting the process of organizing the public structures and improvements in a general order of priority, does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP make use of expert advice and information from the planning department and/or contracted private consultants on some of the following elements?</td>
<td>Yes ☐ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No ☐ This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
<td>Check this box: to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: to indicate when improvement is done.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Prioritizing and Preparing the Capital Improvement Program

### Question 13.
Before preparing a draft CIP, does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP review each project to determine the conformance of the projects with the community comprehensive plan, development policies, and the objectives and goals of the community?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</th>
<th>Negative (need to correct) answer</th>
<th>Action to correct has been done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yes □</strong> Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td><strong>No □</strong> This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
<td>Check this box: to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: to indicate when improvement is done.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Question 14.
Does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP develop a formal set of criteria to rank the projects in order of importance that at a minimum considers the following?

1. Description, location, and purpose of the project.
2. Justification for the need for the project.
3. An explanation of its relationship to other projects.
4. The cost of the project (submit detailed budget).
5. Estimation of annual income from project.
6. Estimation of annual operation costs for the project.
7. Status of plans/specifications for the project and how long it will last or how long it will take to complete.
8. The year that construction of the project should start.
9. The rank/importance of the project within the department submitting it.
10. Cost/benefit ratio.
11. Whether the project addresses identified community concerns such as environmental, health and safety impacts, and energy consumption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</th>
<th>Negative (need to correct) answer</th>
<th>Action to correct has been done</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yes □</strong> Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td><strong>No □</strong> This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
<td>Check this box: to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: to indicate when improvement is done.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</td>
<td>Negative (need to correct) answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>15.</strong> Before preparing a draft CIP, does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP provide an opportunity for agencies to present their arguments for including any given project in the program?</td>
<td>Yes □ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □ This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16.</strong> Does the prioritized draft CIP include at a minimum the following elements?</td>
<td>Yes □ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □ This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. A ranking of selected projects based on established priority criteria and available funding.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Name and location of proposed public facilities.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Date of construction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Sponsor or department responsible.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Project summary.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Approximate cost of construction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Funding source for each project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>17.</strong> Does the draft CIP identify projects to be undertaken in the coming year as part of the capital budget?</td>
<td>Yes □ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □ This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</td>
<td>Negative (need to correct) answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>18.</strong> Does the draft CIP include the remaining selected projects as part of the capital improvement program for the subsequent five years?</td>
<td>Yes □ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □ This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>19.</strong> Does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP incorporate some form of public review into the decision-making process – e.g., a public hearing on the proposed CIP?</td>
<td>Yes □ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □ This is recommended but not required. If desired, this process should be initiated as a best practice as soon as possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20.</strong> If the planning commission is the body responsible for preparing and updating the CIP annually, then, after the public review process, does the planning commission, on the basis of the CIP advisory committee (if one was appointed) or administrator/staff recommendations, formally approve and adopt the proposed capital improvement program?</td>
<td>Yes □ Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □ The planning commission does not approve the CIP recommended by the planning staff or CIP advisory committee. Go back to Step #8.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</td>
<td>Negative (need to correct) answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. After formal adoption of the CIP by the planning commission (if the planning commission of the city, village, township, or county is the body responsible for preparing and updating the CIP annually), is the CIP forwarded as a recommendation to the legislative body for final approval?</td>
<td>Yes □  Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □  This is required by the Michigan Planning Enabling Act. The legislative body needs to formally approve and adopt the CIP before it can be implemented. The legislative body also has final authority over what is or is not included in the CIP, so it can adopt the CIP with modifications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. After final approval and adoption by the legislative body, does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP review and update the CIP annually?</td>
<td>Yes □  Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □  This is required by the Michigan Planning Enabling Act. Start to do so immediately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. A few months before the end of the current fiscal year, does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP review the next year’s proposed capital projects to determine whether the projects still help fulfill the community’s current planning goals and objectives?</td>
<td>Yes □  Good. Go to the next question.</td>
<td>No □  This is required by the Michigan Planning Enabling Act. Start to do so immediately.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Affirmative (we are doing it) answer</td>
<td>Negative (need to correct) answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 24. A few months before the end of the current fiscal year, does the planning commission, legislative body, or individual responsible for annually preparing a CIP (with staff assistance, if available) reexamine the entire CIP and prepare an updated CIP with revised costs, funding sources, timings, etc., for a new sixth year? | Yes □  
Good. Repeat steps #6 through #23. | No □  
This is required by the Michigan Planning Enabling Act. Start to do so immediately. Go to step #6. | Check this box: □ to indicate this is an improvement needed to be done. Check this box: □ to indicate when improvement is done. |
Chapter 3: Smart Growth

The purpose of this section is to provide basic information and introduce communities to the 10 tenets of smart growth. Covered here are the basics necessary for the administration and operation of zoning. If your community is interested in incorporating the principles of smart growth into its ordinances and develop according to the smart growth principles, the Smart Growth Readiness Assessment Tool (SGRAT) can be used to guide your community through an evaluation of the plans and implementation tools currently used to guide growth. This assessment can also help your community identify tools that may help produce a smart pattern of growth in the future.

This document represents the first stage of a community assessment. To go on to the next step in assessing your community’s planning and zoning, you should review the Smart Growth Readiness Assessment Tool on the Internet. Go to http://www.landpolicy.msu.edu/sgrat/.

1. The Governor’s Land Use Leadership Council used the following smart growth tenets for many of the recommendations contained in its report on land use in Michigan. These 10 tenets can form the basis for establishing a set of state land use goals.
   a. Mix land uses.
   b. Compact building design.
   c. Increase housing choice.
   d. Encourage walking.
   e. Offer transportation variety.
   f. Create a sense of place.
   g. Protect farms, unique natural features, open spaces.
   h. Direct new development to existing communities.
   i. Make development process fair, predictable, efficient.
   j. Involve stakeholders.

2. What is smart growth?
   a. Smart growth is development that serves the economy, the community, and the environment.
   b. It provides a framework for communities to make informed decisions about how and where they grow.

3. Why smart growth? It makes dollars and sense because it is financially conservative, environmentally responsible, and socially beneficial.
   a. Financially conservative
      i. Makes responsible use of public money.
      ii. Reuses existing buildings.
      iii. Uses existing roads and highways.
      iv. Uses existing water/sewer infrastructure.
      v. Uses higher density to maximize the value of publicly funded facilities and services.
      vi. Keeps taxes and public service costs low.
   b. Environmentally responsible
      i. Uses and/or reuses developed areas.
      ii. Keeps impervious surfaces to a minimum by concentrating dense development.
      iii. Builds to fit existing land rather than changing the land to fit what is built.
      iv. Avoids oversized lots and yards to reduce excessive mowing, fertilizing, etc.

---

For more detail and examples, see http://www.smartgrowth.org/pdf/gettosa.pdf.
c. Socially beneficial
   i. Encourages people to live close enough to one another for comfortable interaction.
   ii. Designs residential areas for conversation from the sidewalk to the front porch.
   iii. Encourages “eyes on the street” at all hours to reduce crime and fear of crime.