

May 16, 2002

Last revised January 3, 2013

Bringing
Knowledge
to Life!

*“Thirty seven million
acres is all the Michigan
we will ever have.”*

Former Governor
William G. Milliken

Michigan State University
Extension,
Greening Michigan Institute,
Land Use Team

*MSU is an affirmative-action, equal-
opportunity institution. Michigan State
University Extension programs and materials
are open to all without regard to race, color,
national origin, gender, religion, age,
disability, political beliefs, sexual
orientation, marital status or family status.*

Restrictions on Zoning Authority

This publication summarizes the state and federal limitations on zoning in Michigan. Local governments receive power, including authorization for planning and zoning, from the state. The authority to adopt and enforce zoning is granted to local governments through the zoning enabling acts.¹ When authority is granted to a local government, it often comes with strings attached which may require the task to be done a certain way or within certain limitations. In addition, various court cases, other state statutes and the federal code often limit what local governments can do with zoning.

Limits placed on zoning can change. This document attempts to outline restrictions on zoning as they currently exist. Limitations described here are categorized as outlined below. For the limitations on zoning listed here, detailed footnotes are included to help the reader find the source of the limitation.

This list, starting on the next page, is divided into the following categories:

1. General Rules (page 2).
2. Outright Preemption (page 2).
3. Preemption, sort of (page 7).
4. If one use is permitted, others must be, also (page 9).
5. Can regulate but not prohibit (page 10).
6. Can regulate but not less strictly than the state (page 10).

Appendix A, on page 11, lists a few commonly believed things to be exempt from zoning, but they are subject to zoning. Appendix B, on page 12, reproduces the Michigan Supreme Court and Michigan Appeals Court guidelines to aid courts to determine if state statute preempts zoning. Appendix C, on page 13, reports the history of this *Land Use Series* updates over time.

Author:

Kurt H. Schindler, REGIONAL LAND USE EDUCATOR
LAND USE TEAM WORK GROUP

MSU EXTENSION GREENING MICHIGAN INSTITUTE

Phone: (231)882-0026

Fax: (231)882-9605

E-mail: SCHINDL9@anr.msu.edu

overland mail:

MSU Extension, Benzie County

448 Court Place

Beulah, Michigan 49617-9518

P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3101 *et seq.*). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.201 *et seq.*); P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.271 *et seq.*); P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended (the City and Village Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.581 *et seq.*).

1. General rules

- A. The zoning enabling acts require consideration of all legitimate land uses: “A zoning ordinance or zoning decision shall not have the effect of totally prohibiting the establishment of a land use within a local unit of government in the presence of a demonstrated need for that land use within either that local unit of government or the surrounding area within the state, unless a location within the local unit of government does not exist where the use may be appropriately located or the use is unlawful.”²
- B. Local zoning must allow the continuation of a nonconforming use³ and expansion of a nonconforming use⁴ (existing building or use of land that lawfully existed prior to zoning or prior to the zoning amendment). However, the ordinance can provide for reasonable terms for restoration, reconstruction, extension, substitution, and acquiring of nonconforming uses that may limit their life span.
- C. Local zoning cannot constitute a taking, which occurs if a regulation requires or permits physical invasion by others onto private property or is so sweeping that it, in effect, takes away all economically viable

²Section 207 of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3207). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: Section 27a. of P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.227a); section 27a of P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.297a); and section 12 of P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended (the City and Village Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.592).)

³Section 208 of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3208). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: Section 16 of P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.216); section 16 of P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.286.); and section 3a of P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended (the City and Village Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.583a).)

⁴*Century Cellunet of Southern Michigan v. Summit Township et al.*, 250 Mich.App. 543 (2002), Jackson Circuit Court LC No. 99-096108-AA.

use of land⁵

- D. Zoning must provide for due process of law and must provide equal protection of all persons affected by the laws.⁶

2. Outright preemption

Outright preemption occurs if the regulation of a particular land use is reserved to the state – that is, it “occupies the field.” The Michigan Supreme Court set forth four guidelines to aid courts in determining whether a statute occupies the field of regulation.⁷ See Appendix B, on page 12, for more detail on this.

- A. Local zoning cannot regulate the location or operation of hazardous waste disposal and/or storage facilities.⁸ (It is probably acceptable to regulate fencing and haul routes if approved by the state siting board.)
- B. Local zoning cannot regulate the location or operation of solid waste facilities such as

⁵Both state and federal constitutions prohibit taking of private property for public use without just compensation – U.S. Constitution, Amendment V, and Michigan Constitution 1963, Article 10 §2. The U.S. Supreme Court has recognized that the government effectively “takes” a person’s property by overburdening that property with regulations. *Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon*, 260 US 393, 415; 43 S Ct 158; 67 L Ed 2d 322 (1922). See also *K & K Construction, Inc. v. Department of Natural Resources*, 456 Mich 570, 576; 575 NW2d 531 (1998); *Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council*, 505 US 1003, 1015; 112 S Ct 2886; 120 L Ed 2d 798 (1992); *Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New York City*, 438 US 104; 98 S Ct 2646; 57 L Ed 2d 631 (1978); *Adams Outdoor Advertising v. City of East Lansing* (after remand), 463 Mich 17, 23-24; 614 NW2d 634 (2000); *Palazzolo v. Rhode Island*, 533 US 606; 121 S Ct 2448, 2457; 150 L Ed 2d 592 (2001); *Loveladies Harbor Inc. v. United States*, 28 F3d 1171 (1994); *Creppel v. United States*, 41 F3d 627 (1994); *Good v. United States*, 189 F3d 1355 (1999); *Lingle v. Chevron USA, Inc.*, 125 S.Ct. 2074 (2005).

⁶U.S. Constitution, Amendment IV.

⁷*People v. Llewellyn*, 401 Mich 314, 257 NW2d 902 (1977).

⁸Section 11122 of Part III of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the hazardous waste part of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.11121). See also M.C.L. 324.11122.

landfills and incinerators.⁹ (It is probably acceptable to regulate fencing and haul routes if included in the county solid waste management plan.)

- C. Local zoning cannot regulate utility (power) lines.¹⁰
- D. Local zoning cannot regulate wind energy power transmission lines¹¹ within Primary and other Wind Energy Resource Zones established by order of the Michigan Public Service Commission, if a Expedited Siting Certificate for a transmission line is issued to a public utility by the Public Service Commission. Wind Energy Resource Zones do not include areas zoned residential at the time of the designation.
- E. Local zoning cannot regulate pipelines that are regulated by the Michigan Public Service Commission.¹²

⁹Section 11538 of Part 115 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the solid waste part of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act M.C.L. 324.11538(8)).

¹⁰Section 205(1) of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3205(1)); and section 10 of Act 30 of 1955, as amended (the Electric Transmission Line Certification Act, M.C.L. 460.570). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: Section 1(2) of P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.201(2)); and section 1(2) of P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.271(2)); section 1(3) of P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended (the City and Village Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.581(2)).)

¹¹P.A. 295 of 2008, as amended, (being the Clean, Renewable, and Efficient Energy Act, M.C.L. 460.1001 *et seq.*). In particular see sections 143, 145(4), 147(1), 149(1), and 153(4) in Part 4 of the act.

¹²The public service commission has the power and jurisdiction to hear and pass upon all matters pertaining to, necessary, or incident to the regulation of public utilities, except for railroads and railroad companies. (Some additional (non-zoning) regulatory powers rest with cities.) Section 4 and 6 of P.A. 3 of 1939, as amended, (being the Michigan Public Service Commission Act, M.C.L. 460.4 and 460.6). P.A. 3 of 1895, as amended, (being the General Law Village Act, M.C.L. 67.1a). P.A. 278 of 1909, as amended, (being the Home Rule Village Act, M.C.L. 78.26a). P.A. 215 of 1895, as amended, (Being the Fourth Class City Act, M.C.L. 91.6). P.A. 270 of 1909, as amended, (being the Home Rule City Act, M.C.L. 117.5d).

- F. Local zoning (and state and local government) cannot regulate railroads.¹³
- G. Local zoning cannot regulate state prisons and public correctional facilities¹⁴ including halfway houses.¹⁵ Private facilities can be regulated.
- H. Township and county zoning cannot regulate oil and gas wells, exploration, and operation of the wellhead site¹⁶ (but it can be regulated off-site.) An exception is that this regulation can occur if zoning is for a designated “natural river.”¹⁷
- I. Local zoning cannot regulate surface coal mining and reclamation operations.¹⁸ (See also “mining” on page 13.) An exception is that this regulation can occur if zoning is

¹³Interstate Commerce Commission Termination Act of 1995, 49 U.S.C. § 10101 *et seq.* P.A. 354 of 1993, as amended, (being the Railroad Code of 1993, M.C.L. 462.131) and *Wabash, St. L. & P.R. Co. v. Illinois*, 118 U.S. 557 (1886).

¹⁴Section 4 of Chapter I of Act 232 of 1953, as amended (Department of Corrections Act M.C.L. 791.204). Also M.C.L. 791.216. Noted exception is at 791.220g(7).

¹⁵*Dearden v. Detroit*; Supreme Court of Michigan, 403 Mich. 257; 269 N.W.2d 139; 1978 Mich., August 30, 1978, Decided.

¹⁶Section 205(2) of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3205(2)); and part 615 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the supervisor of wells part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.61501 *et. seq.*). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: Section 1(1) of P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.201(1)); section 1(1) P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.271(1)).)

¹⁷Section 30508 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the Natural Rivers part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.30508).

¹⁸Sec. 63504 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the surface and underground coal mine reclamation part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.63504). However, section 63505 reads, “This part shall not be construed as preempting a zoning ordinance enacted by a local unit of government or impairing a land use plan adopted pursuant to a law of this state by a local unit of government.”

for a designated natural river.¹⁹

- J. State water pollution regulations occupy the field for both point²⁰ and nonpoint²¹ sources of pollution.
- K. Regulations about farms/farming²² are severely restricted by the Right To Farm Act: There is debate as to if one can, or cannot restrict farming to certain zoning districts. Unpublished court rulings suggest farms/farming must be allowed anywhere. Others suggest those cases were dealing with nonconforming farm uses. Michigan Department of Agriculture takes the position a community can allow, or not allow farm/farming in various zoning districts. If farm/farming is allowed, then all types of farms must be allowed. A community cannot pick and choose what types of farms are allowed.

Local zoning of agriculture cannot extend, revise or conflict with provisions of the Right to Farm Act or any generally accepted agricultural and management practices (GAAMPs)²³, including:

¹⁹Section 30508 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the natural rivers part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.30508).

²⁰Section 3133 of Part 31 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the water resources (point source) part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.3133(1)) and upheld by *City of Brighton and Department of Environmental Quality v. Township of Hamburg*, 260 Mich.App. 345 (2004), Livingston Circuit Court LC No. 00-017695-CH.

²¹Section 8328(1) of Part 83 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the general non-point source pollution control part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.8328(1)).

²²Farm means any activity that produces a *farm product* via a *farm operation* which is *commercial*, as defined in the Right To Farm Act, M.C.L. 286.472. (There is no minimum amount of *commercial* required, and *farm operation* does not have to be within what one commonly thinks of as a traditional farm.)

²³Section 4(6) of Act 93 of 1981, as amended (the Michigan Right to Farm Act, M.C.L. 286.474(6)) and respective Michigan Department of Agriculture adopted generally accepted
(continued...)

- i. Manure management and utilization.
- ii. Pesticide utilization and pest control.
- iii. Nutrient utilization.
- iv. Care of farm animals.
- v. Cranberry production.
- vi. Site selection and odor control for new and expanding livestock production facilities.
- vii. Irrigation water use.
- viii. Farm Markets²⁴

Basic rule of thumb is if the topic is covered in the Right to Farm Act or in a GAAMP, then that topic is off limits for local

²³(...continued)
agricultural and management practices (GAAMPs).

²⁴The GAAMP sets forth that a farm market is an "area" where transactions between a farm market operator and customers take place (not necessarily but might be a building). At least 50 percent of the products marketed/offered for sale (measured over a five year timeframe) must be from the affiliated farm. The "50 percent" is measured by use of floor space.

The farm market must be "affiliated" with a farm, meaning a farm under the same ownership or control (e.g. leased) as the farm market, but does not have to be located on the same property where the farm production occurs. The market must be located on land where local land use zoning allows for agriculture and its related activities.

Marketing is part of a farm market, and can include Community Supported Agriculture (CSA), U-Pick operations (also known as pick your own (PYO)), and associated activities and services to attract and entertain customers (e.g., cooking demonstrations, corn mazes, tours, fishing pond, hay rides, horseback riding, petting farms, picnic areas, etcetera (a much longer list is in the GAAMP)). Services to attract and entertain customers are subject to local zoning ordinances, state, federal laws, and associated rules and regulations.

If in a building/structure, the structure must comply with the Stille-Derosset-Hale Single State Construction Code Act (MCL 125.1501 *et seq.*) and placement of the structure shall comply with local zoning, including set-backs from property lines and right-of-ways. Parking may be on grass, gravel, or pavement; one vehicle parking space for every 200 sq. ft. of interior retail space or 1,000 sq. ft. of outdoor activity space. Driveways must have an Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT), county road commission, or village/city street agency permits. Signs outside the farm market must comply with sign regulations of MDOT, and all applicable local regulations. External lighting must comply with all applicable local, state, and federal regulations for lighting outside the farm market.

All details in the GAAMP are not covered, above. See also Section 2(b)(i) of Act 93 of 1981, as amended, (the Michigan Right to Farm Act, M.C.L. 286.472(b)(i)).

regulation. See more detailed materials on this topic at www.msue.msu.edu/lu.

If a local government submits its ordinance on farm/agriculture, showing that adverse effects on the environment or public health will exist within the local government without the ordinance, to the Michigan Department of Agriculture and the Michigan Agricultural Commission approves the ordinance then those local regulations may apply.²⁵

- L. State fertilizer regulations occupy the field.²⁶
- M. Local zoning cannot regulate uses on state-owned land on Mackinac Island under the control of the Mackinac Island Park Authority. (Furthermore, all buildings in the city of Mackinac Island are subject to design review and approval by the city architect.)²⁷
- N. State Fairgrounds are under the jurisdiction of the State Exposition and Fairgrounds Council, one in Detroit and one in the Upper Peninsula.²⁸
- O. Local zoning cannot regulate trails that have received Natural Resources Commission designation as a “Michigan trailway”²⁹ and snowmobile trails which

are subject to the Snowmobile Act.³⁰

- P. Local zoning cannot regulate any part of the Michigan State Police radio communication system.³¹
- Q. Local zoning cannot regulate state-owned or leased armories and accessory buildings, military warehouses, arsenals and storage facilities for military equipment, and the land for military uses.³²
- R. Local zoning cannot regulate U.S. nuclear power³³ facilities and military facilities.³⁴
- S. Activities of a federally recognized Native American (Indian) tribal government within trust lands or within “Indian country” are not subject to local zoning. (Tribal zoning, if any, does have jurisdiction.)³⁵

²⁹(...continued)

(2001). (See also part 721, section 72103 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the Michigan trailways part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.72103) and section 10 of P.A. 295 of 1976, as amended (the State Transportation Preservation Act of 1976, M.C.L. 474.60)).

³⁰M.C.L. 324.82101 *et seq.* and *Chocolay Charter Township v Department of Natural Resources*, no. 246171 (Mich. App., October 28, 2003) (unpublished).

³¹P.A. 152 of 1929, as amended (the Michigan State Police Radio Broadcasting Stations Act, M.C.L. 28.281 *et. seq.*).

³²Section 380 of chapter 6 of P.A. 150 of 1967, as amended (the armories and reservations chapter of the Michigan Military Act, M.C.L. 32.780).

³³Title 42, Chapter 23 of the United States Code (42 USC Chap. 23); Atomic Energy Act of 1954, 68 Stat 919 (1954); 42 USC 2011); Michigan Attorney General Opinion No. 4073 (1962), No. 4979 (1976). According to Michigan Attorney General Opinion No. 5948 (1981), the state can regulate radioactive air pollution, including air pollution from nuclear power plants, but cannot prohibit nuclear power plants or nuclear waste disposal facilities within its boundaries.

³⁴Title 40, Chapter 12, Section 619(h) of the United States Code (40 USC Sec. 619(h)).

³⁵*Brendale v. Confederated Tribes and Bands of the Yakima Indian Nation et al.*, 492 US 408 (1989) addressed zoning jurisdiction in a checkerboarded ownership pattern area. This case was appealed. The U.S. Supreme Court combined the case (continued...)

²⁵Section 4(7) of Act 93 of 1981, as amended (the Michigan Right to Farm Act, M.C.L. 286.474(7)).

²⁶Section 8517(1) of Part 85 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the fertilizer part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.8517).

²⁷Section 76504(2) of Part 76 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (Mackinac Island State Park part of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L.324.76504(2)).

²⁸P.A. 361 of 1978, as amended (the Michigan Exposition and Fairgrounds Act, M.C.L. 285.161 *et. seq.*) and *City of Detroit v. State of Michigan*, 626 Mich.App. 542 (2004), Wayne Circuit Court LC No. 00-021062-CE.

²⁹Section 82101 *et seq.* of Part 821 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (Snowmobiles part of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L.. §§ 324.72101; *Township of Bingham v. RLTD Railroad Corp.*, 463 Mich. 634, 624 N.W.2d 725 (continued...)

- T. Public Schools under the jurisdiction of the Michigan superintendent of public instruction are not subject to local zoning.³⁶
- U. Certain public colleges and universities are not subject to local zoning.³⁷

³⁵(...continued)

with others before hearing it. The Supreme Court case, also involving the Crow Tribe in *Montana v. United States*, 450 US 544 (1981), further modified the *Brendale* decision to say "fee" lands and "trust" lands are different. Trust lands are zoned by the tribal *Ogema* (government).

The tribe also retains its zoning authority over non-Indian members in portions of a reservation where only a few, isolated parcels had been alienated and the tribe's power to determine that area's essential character remains intact. The tribe does not have zoning authority within a reservation in an area predominantly owned and populated by non-Indian members because such an area has lost its character as an exclusive tribal resource. The issue becomes where the lines --boundary-- for these areas are drawn. Thus resolution of where tribe or municipality jurisdiction exists is decided in court.

The court requires a case-by-case review to settle the issue of zoning jurisdiction, arguing it is impossible to articulate precise rules that will govern when tribal zoning or municipal/county zoning has jurisdiction.

³⁶*Charter Township of Northville et al. v. Northville Public Schools* 469 Mich 285, 666 N.W.2d 213 (2003). Section 1263(3) of Act 451 of 1976, as amended (the Revised School Code, M.C.L. 380.1263(3)).

³⁷Article VIII Section 5 of the 1963 Michigan Constitution; Article VIII Section 6 of the 1963 Michigan Constitution; Section 5 of Act 151 of 1851, as amended (the University of Michigan Act, M.C.L. 390.5); Sections 2 and 6 of Act 269 of 1909, as amended (the Michigan State University Act, M.C.L. 390.102 and 390.106); Section 5 of Act 183 of 1956, as amended (the Wayne State University Act, M.C.L. 390.645)); Section 4 of Act 35 of 1970, as amended (the Oakland University Act, M.C.L. 390.154); Section 2 of Act 70 of 1885, as amended (the Michigan Technological University Act, M.C.L. 390.352); Section 4 of Act 26 of 1969, as amended (the Lake Superior State University Act, M.C.L. 390.394); Section 3 of Act 72 of 1857, as amended (the Albion College Act, M.C.L. 390.703); Section 1 of Act 278 of 1965, as amended (the Saginaw Valley State University Act, M.C.L. 390.711); Section 2 of Act 95 of 1943, as amended (the Hillsdale College Act, M.C.L. 390.732); Sections 1 and 2 of Territorial Laws of 1833, Vol. III (the Kalamazoo College Act, M.C.L. 390.751 and 390.752); Section 3 of Act 114 of 1949, as amended (the Ferris State University Act, M.C.L. 390.803); Section 3 of Act 120 of 1960, as amended (the Grand Valley State University Act, M.C.L. 390.843); Section 3 of P.A. 48 of 1963 (2nd Ex. Sess.), as amended (the Central, Eastern, Northern and
(continued...)

- V. A municipality that adopts a zoning ordinance need not follow its own ordinance.³⁸ The court case establishing this preemption is specifically interpreting the City and Village Zoning Act, but the language the court used suggests this concept might also apply to a township or county. This preemption is only for a government's own zoning ordinance. A city, township, and village government must comply with another government's zoning ordinance.³⁹

- W. County buildings owned and built/located by a county board of commissioners is not subject to zoning⁴⁰ in so much as the county has the power to determine "the site of, remove, or to designate a new site for a county building," and to erect "the necessary buildings for jails, clerks' offices, and other county buildings...."⁴¹ A county's power under the CCA "is limited to the siting of county buildings." The court case establishing this preemption involved a county building and township zoning, but the language used by the court suggests the county is exempt from city and village zoning as well. Ancillary land uses indispensable to the building's normal use (not other types of land uses) are also not

³⁷(...continued)

Western Michigan Universities Act, M.C.L. 390.553). See also *Marquette Co. v. Bd. of Control of Northern Michigan Univ.*, 111 Mich.App. 521, 314 N.W.2d 678 (1981).

³⁸*Morrison et al. v. City of East Lansing*, 255 Mich. App. 505 (2003).

³⁹Michigan Attorney General Opinion No. 6982 (1998).

⁴⁰*Pittsfield Charter Township v. Washtenaw County and City of Ann Arbor*, 468 Mich 702, 664 N.W.2d 193 (2003).

⁴¹*Herman v. County of Berrien* (Published No. 134097, June 18, 2008) Michigan Supreme Court.

- subject to zoning.⁴²
- X. A local unit of government shall not regulate underground storage tanks that is inconsistent with the state statute and rules, nor require a permit, license, approval, inspection, or the payment of a fee or tax for the installation, use, closure, or removal of an underground storage tank system.⁴³
 - Y. A local unit of government shall not enact or enforce an ordinance that regulates a large quantity water withdrawal⁴⁴ (more than an average of 100,000 gallons of water per day).
 - Z. A local unit of government cannot regulate the ownership, registration, purchase, sale, transfer, or possession of pistols or other firearms.⁴⁵

⁴²*Herman v. County of Berrien* (Published No. 134097, June 18, 2008) Michigan Supreme Court.

⁴³Section 109, and 108(2) of Part 211 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended, (being the Underground Storage Tanks part of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, (M.C.L. 324.21109, M.C.L. 324.21108(2).) However the DEQ may delegate underground storage tanks to certain local governments, M.C.L. 324.21102(7). Note: these sections are repealed by act 451 of 1994, as amended, effective upon the expiration of 12 months after part 215 becomes invalid pursuant to section M.C.L. 324.21546 (3).

⁴⁴Section 26 of Part 327 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended, (being the Great Lakes Preservation part of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, (M.C.L. 324.32726) reads: "Except as authorized by the public health code, 1978 PA 368, M.C.L. 333.1101 to 333.25211, a local unit of government shall not enact or enforce an ordinance that regulates a large quantity withdrawal. This section is not intended to diminish or create any existing authority of municipalities to require persons to connect to municipal water supply systems as authorized by law."

MCL 324.32701(p) defines "Large quantity withdrawal" to mean "1 or more cumulative total withdrawals of over 100,000 gallons of water per day average in any consecutive 30-day period that supply a common distribution system."

⁴⁵MCL 123.1102 and *Michigan Coalition for Responsible Gun Owners v City of Ferndale* (256 Mich App 401, 409-410; 662 NW2d 864 (2003), lv den 469 Mich 880 (2003))

A local unit of government shall not . . . enact or enforce any ordinance or regulation pertaining to, or regulate in any
(continued...)

- AA. Southeast Michigan Regional Transit Authority public transit facilities and public transportation system are exempt from local zoning ordinances or regulations which conflict with a coordination directive issued by the Authority.⁴⁶

3. Preemption, sort of

- A. Local governments cannot implement regulations that are more stringent than those of the state for the interior design of mobile (manufactured) home parks or standards related to the business, sales, and service practices of mobile home dealers, mobile home installers and repairers (unless the local regulation has been approved by the Michigan Manufactured Home Commission).⁴⁷
- B. Local government cannot regulate activities of the U. S. government on land owned by the federal government (although privately-owned facilities leased by the federal government can be regulated). Federal government must "consider" local regulations and follow them to "the maximum extent feasible." It must also follow requirements for

⁴⁵(...continued)

other manner the ownership, registration, purchase, sale, transfer, transportation, or possession of pistols or other firearms, ammunition for pistols or other firearms, or components of pistols or other firearms, except as otherwise provided by federal law or a law of this state. [MCL 123.1102; emphasis added.]

⁴⁶Section 205(1)(b) of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, MCL 125.3205(1)(b) (effective March 27, 2013 at noon) and section 8(12) and section 15 of the Regional Transit Authority Act, MCL __8(12) and __15 (P.A.387 of 2012).

⁴⁷Section 7 of Act 96 of 1987, as amended (the Mobile Home Commission Act, M.C.L. 125.2307). Also, a local ordinance shall not be stricter than the manufacturer's recommended mobile home setup and installation specifications, or mobile home setup and installation standards promulgated by the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development pursuant to the National Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 5401 to 5426.

landscaping, open space, minimum distance, maximum height, historic preservation and esthetic qualities, but it is not required to obtain a permit.⁴⁸ A federal instrumentality (where a federal government function is being done by a private entity) is also immune from any state law or local regulation directly inhibiting the purpose (and only its purpose).⁴⁹

- C. Local governments cannot implement regulations about nonferrous metallic mineral mining (nonferrous metallic sulfide deposits) that duplicate, contradict, or conflict with part 632 of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act.⁵⁰ And such regulations (concerning

hours of operation and haul routes) shall be reasonable in accommodating customary nonferrous metallic mineral mining operations.

- D. Local zoning can regulate only certain specific aspects of extraction (mining) of natural resources (e.g., gravel, sand and similar pits).⁵¹ Zoning can not prevent extraction of natural resources unless “very serious consequences”⁵² would occur. Regulations can include government’s reasonable regulation of hours of operation, blasting hours, noise levels, dust control measures, and traffic (not preempted by the nonferrous metallic mineral mining part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act⁵³). Such regulation shall be reasonable in accommodating customary mining operations. Extraction of minerals supercedes surface rights. (Oil and gas and coal mining can not be regulated, see 2H and 2I.) Further regulation of mineral extraction might be acceptable if the zoning is for a designated natural river.
- E. Wireless communication antenna and towers shall be a permitted use on any property on existing support structures, and in certain circumstances (larger support structure) shall be a special use permit (or the community may allow it as

⁴⁸Title 40, Chapter 12, Section 619 of the United States Code (40 USC Sec. 619).

In carrying out its Federal functions, neither the United States nor its agencies are subject to state or local regulations absent a clear statutory waiver to the contrary. This concept is based upon the Supremacy Clause of the United States Constitution which states, in part, that it and the laws of the United States are the “supreme law of the land.” (U.S. Constitution, Article VI, cl.2.)

It is a “seminal principal” of law that the United States Constitution and the laws made pursuant to it are supreme. *Hancock v. Train*, 426 U.S. 167,178.

“(I)t is of the very essence of supremacy to remove all obstacles to its action within its own sphere, and so to modify every power vested in subordinate governments, as to exempt its own operations from their own influence.”

Hancock, 426 U.S. 167,178 (*McCulloch v. Maryland*, 4 *Wheat*. 316,426 (1819)). Sovereign immunity means that where “Congress does not affirmatively declare its instrumentalities or property subject to regulation,” “the federal function must be left free” of regulation. *Id.* (*Mayo v. United States*, 319 U.S. 441, 447-48).

⁴⁹*City of Detroit v. Ambassador Bridge Co.* Michigan Supreme Court (No. 132329, May 7, 2008); *United States v. Michigan*; and *Name.Space, Inc. v. Network Solutions, Inc.* (2nd Cir.). See also *Commodities Exp. Co. v. Detroit Int'l Bridge*, U.S. Court of Appeals Sixth Circuit No. 11-1758, September 24, 2012.

⁵⁰Part 632 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended, (being the Nonferrous Metallic Mineral Mining part of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, (M.C.L. 324.63203(4)).

See also Michigan Attorney General Opinion 7269, (continued...)

⁵⁰(...continued)

September 27, 2012.

⁵¹Section 205(3)-205(6) of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3206(3)-125.3205(6)).

See also Michigan Attorney General Opinion 7269, September 27, 2012.

⁵²See *Silva v Ada Township*, 416 Mich 153 (1982); *American Aggregates Corp v Highland Twp*, 151 Mich. App. 37; and MCL 125.3205(5).

⁵³Part 632 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended, (being the Nonferrous Metallic Mineral Mining part of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, (M.C.L. 324.63203(4)).

a permitted use).⁵⁴

- F. A local unit of government is limited to regulate fireworks sale, display, storage, transportation or distribution which are regulated under the Michigan Fireworks Safety Act in a manner that is only incidental.⁵⁵ But the Fireworks Safety Act leaves open the door to limited regulation so long as that regulation does contravene the state law and the local ordinance is incidental because it applies its regulations to any and all retail operations, and fireworks sales are not treated any differently than all other retail enterprises. A local unit of government may enact an ordinance regulating the ignition, discharge, and use of consumer fireworks, but such ordinance cannot apply to the day preceding, the day of, or the day after a national holiday. It may also be that local ordinances cannot regulate novelties.⁵⁶

4. If one use is permitted, others must be, also

- A. If land is zoned “residential” of a specified density, then the ordinance must provide for a cluster (open space) type of development.⁵⁷
- B. In zoning districts where dwellings are

⁵⁴Section 514 of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3514).

⁵⁵Michigan Attorney General Opinion 7266 (June 12, 2012) and Section 7 of PA 256 of 2011 (being the Michigan Fireworks Safety Act, M.C.L. 28.457).

⁵⁶Section 3 of PA 256 of 2011 (being the Michigan Fireworks Safety Act, M.C.L. 28.453). “Novelties” is defined in M.C.L. 28.452(t) as the same as defined under 2001 APA standard 87-1 (American Pyrotechnics Association of Bethesda, Maryland), and toy paper caps/pistols, flitter sparklers, toy noisemakers, toy snakes, etc.

⁵⁷Section 506 of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3506). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: Section 16h of P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.216h.); section 16h of P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.286h.); and section 4f of P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended (the City and Village Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.584f).)

permitted, the ordinance must also allow:

- i. Mobile homes.⁵⁸
 - ii. State-licensed residential facilities for six or fewer persons.⁵⁹
 - iii. Home occupation for instruction in a craft or fine art (e.g., music lessons).⁶⁰
 - iv. “Family day-care home” and “group day-care home” (e.g., child daycare facilities) in counties and townships.⁶¹ (Cities and villages can regulate these by special use permit.⁶²)
- C. If land is zoned to allow farms, or farms are allowed as a nonconforming use then a biofuel production facility that produces 100,000 or less gallons of biofuel shall be a permitted use on a farm subject to certain conditions. A biofuel production facility of more than 100,000 but not more than 500,000 gallons of biofuel shall be a possible special use on a farm subject to certain conditions.⁶³

⁵⁸*Robinson Township v. Knoll*, 410 Mich 310 (1981) and Section 7(6) of Act 96 of 1987, as amended (the Mobile Home Commission Act, M.C.L. 125.2307(6)).

⁵⁹Section 206 of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3206). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: Section 16a of P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.216a); section 16a of P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.286a.); and section 3b of P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended (the City and Village Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.583b).)

⁶⁰Section 204 of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3204). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: Section 1a of P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.201a); section 1a of P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.271a.); and section 3c of P.A. 207 of 1921, as amended (the City and Village Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.583c).)

⁶¹Section 206(3) and 206(4) of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3206(3) and 125.3206(4)). (This footnote used to cite the following acts, each repealed as of July 1, 2006: Section 16g of P.A. 183 of 1943, as amended (the County Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.216g); and section 16g of P.A. 184 of 1943, as amended (the Township Zoning Act, M.C.L. 125.286g).)

⁶²Section 206(5) of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3206(5)).

⁶³Section 513 of P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3513).

5. Can regulate but not prohibit

- A. Signs can be regulated so long as the regulation is not dependent on (does not regulate) the content of the sign.⁶⁴ Also, sign regulation just for aesthetic purposes can be problematic.⁶⁵
- C. Local zoning cannot limit religious activities/land uses in any terms that differ from those for other assemblies and nonreligious activities/land uses, nor can they interfere with religious activity.⁶⁶
- D. Adult entertainment or sexually oriented businesses can be regulated but not totally excluded.⁶⁷
- E. Zoning cannot prohibit satellite dishes⁶⁸ or cellular telephone towers⁶⁹, but it can

⁶⁴U.S. Constitution, Amendment I. Sign regulation for “commercial speech” (an ad to propose a commercial transaction): *Bolger v. Youngs Drug Products Corp.*, 463 US 60, 66 (1983).

Sign regulation for “noncommercial” speech (political or ideological speech): *Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp. v. Public Service Commission*, 447 US 557 (1980).

Regulations that relate only to “time, place or manner” (e.g., regulations that are “content-neutral”) must meet court rules set down in *U.S. v. O’Brien*, 391 US 367 (1968): (1) furthers an important or substantial governmental interest, (2) is unrelated to the suppression of speech, and (3) limits speech no more than necessary to protect whatever 1st Amendment interests are involved.

⁶⁵*St. Louis Gunning Advertising Co. v. City of St. Louis*, 137 SW 929 (1911), appeal dismissed 231 US 761 (1913). *City of Passaic v. Paterson Bill Posting, Advertising & Sign Co.*, 62 A. 267 (1905).

⁶⁶Title 42, Chapter 21C of the United States Code, codification of Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act of 2000 (PL 106-274).

⁶⁷*Young v. American Mini Theaters, Inc.*, 427 US 50, 71, 96 S Ct 2440, 49 L Ed 2d 310 (1976).

⁶⁸Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter III, Section 303(v) of the United States Code (47 USC Sec. 303) and Federal Communications Commission administrative rules (47 USC Sec. 210(c)).

⁶⁹Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter III, Section 332(c)(7) of the United States Code (47 USC Sec. 332(c)(7)). In particular, as amended by H.R. 952 and H.R. 2834 of the 106th Congress, 1st (continued...)

- regulate location (to a limited extent), design, co-location, etc.
- F. Television reception antennas, multichannel multipoint distribution service, or direct broadcast satellite services which are or smaller than one meter (39.37 inches), are subject to very restricted regulation by local municipalities. Local zoning must comply with the act, and the FCC rules concerning Over-the-Air Reception Devices. Those rules prohibits most zoning restrictions that: (1) unreasonably delay or prevent installation, maintenance or use; (2) unreasonably increase the cost of installation, maintenance or use; or (3) preclude reception of an acceptable quality signal.⁷⁰
- G. Existing shooting ranges (gun clubs) can continue after zoning is changed to prohibit or further regulate the range.⁷¹

6. Can regulate but not less strictly than the state

- A. Local air pollution regulations must be at least as strict as those of the state.⁷²

⁶⁹(...continued)

session. (See also section 251 of P.A. 179 of 1991, as amended (the Michigan Telecommunications Act, M.C.L. 484.2251). Note that section 251 is repealed, effective December 31, 2005.)

⁷⁰Section 207 of Public Law 104-104 (Title 47, Chapter 5, Subchapter III, Part I, Section 303 of the United States Code (47 USC Sec. 303), the Communications Act of 1934, as amended); and rules adopted by the Federal Communications Commission (rule 47 C.F.R. Section 1.4000) on Over-the-Air Reception Devices (“OTARD”).

See also U.S. Federal Communications Commission Information Sheet (Dec. 2007), <http://www.fcc.gov/mb/facts/otard.html>, and http://www.hindmansanchez.com/docs/fcc_otard_rule_questions_and_answers_05240652_.pdf.

⁷¹Section 2a(1) of Act 269 of 1989, as amended (the Sport Shooting Ranges Act, M.C.L. 691.1542a(1)).

⁷²Section 5542(1) of Part 55 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the air pollution control part of the Natural Resources (continued...))

- B. Local zoning can not conflict with adopted airport zoning.⁷³
- C. Regulation of Great Lakes shoreline high-risk erosion areas is subject to approval and oversight by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality.⁷⁴
- D. Designated sand dunes protection is subject to approval and oversight by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality.⁷⁵
- E. State natural rivers protection is subject to approval and oversight by the Michigan

- Department of Natural Resources.⁷⁶
- F. Local governments can regulate/protect wetlands, but the local regulations cannot deviate from the state's definition of a wetland, and the local parts of the zoning ordinance must be approved by the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality.⁷⁷
- G. Local regulation of floodplains cannot be less strict than that of the state.⁷⁸
- H. Local regulation of soil erosion and sedimentation cannot be less strict than that of the state (or of counties administering rules promulgated under state statute).⁷⁹

⁷²(...continued)

and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.5542(1)).

“(1) Nothing in this part or in any rule promulgated under this part invalidates any existing ordinance or regulation having requirements equal to or greater than the minimum applicable requirements of this part or prevents any political subdivision from adopting similar provisions if their requirements are equal to or greater than the minimum applicable requirements of this part.

(2) When a political subdivision or enforcing official of a political subdivision fails to enforce properly the provisions of the political subdivision's ordinances, laws, or regulations that afford equal protection to the public as provided in this part, the department, after consultation with the local official or governing body of the political subdivision, may take such appropriate action as may be necessary for enforcement of the applicable provisions of this part.

(3) The department shall counsel and advise local units of government on the administration of this part. The department shall cooperate in the enforcement of this part with local officials upon request.”

⁷³Section 18 of P.A. 23 of 1950 Extra Session, as amended (the Airport Zoning Act, M.C.L. 259.448 *et seq.*). (Section 15 (M.C.L. 259.445) provides for airport zoning to be a part of local zoning.)

⁷⁴Part 321 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the shorelands protection and management part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.32301).

⁷⁵Part 353 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the sand dunes protection and management part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.35301).

Appendix A.

COMMONLY BELIEVED TO BE EXEMPT FROM ZONING Items subject to zoning

There are some prevailing misunderstandings which have lead some to believe the following activities are exempt, or not subject to zoning. However in fact these activities are subject to zoning:

1. Michigan Department of Natural Resources boat launches (and by extension other state park and state forest land uses).
2. Private schools and other schools which are not under the jurisdiction of the Michigan superintendent of public instruction.

⁷⁶Part 305 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the natural rivers part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.30501).

⁷⁷Part 303 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the wetlands part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.30301) and Opinion of the Attorney General No. 6892 (March 5, 1996).

⁷⁸Part 301 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the inland lakes and streams part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.30501).

⁷⁹Part 91 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended (the soil erosion and sedimentation control part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.9101 *et seq.*).

Appendix B.

The following court case is instructive in determining if a state statute preempts local zoning.

Court: Michigan Court of Appeals (Unpublished No. 248702)
Case Name: *Salamey v. Dexter Twp. Zoning Bd. of Appeals*

Based on the plain language of MCL 324.21109 and the ordinance, the court rejected plaintiff's argument the ordinance was preempted because it was in direct conflict with Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act (NREPA), and the court further held NREPA did not preempt the ordinance by virtue of completely occupying the field the ordinance attempted to regulate.

Plaintiff appealed from the trial court's order affirming the zoning board of appeals' (ZBA) decision denying plaintiff's request for a conditional use permit to operate a gas station in an area zoned a "General Commercial District." Plaintiff contended NREPA preempted local regulation of the installation and use of underground storage tanks (UST) systems, and the ZBA's decision was not supported by competent, material, and substantial evidence. The court concluded MCL 324.21109 neither expressly permits, nor prohibits, operation of a gas station in a general commercial district and the ordinance did not strictly regulate USTs – rather, it promulgated rules for the operation of automobile service stations. NREPA also did not preempt municipal regulation under the facts presented when the record showed various factors other than the installation of the UST system were legitimate reasons for denial of the permit. In addition, the court held the record demonstrated there was competent, material, and substantial evidence supporting the denial of the permit. Affirmed.

Quoting, on the issue of state law preemption:

"State law preempts a municipal ordinance where (1) the statute completely occupies the field that ordinance attempts to regulate, or 2) the ordinance directly conflicts with a state statute." *Michigan Coalition for Responsible Gun Owners, supra*, 256 Mich App 408, quoting *Rental Prop Owners Ass'n of Kent Co v Grand Rapids*, 455 Mich 246, 257; 566 NW2d 514 (1997). Regarding the second method of preemption set forth above, our Supreme Court has held that "[a] direct conflict exists . . . when the ordinance permits what the statute prohibits or the ordinance prohibits what the statute permits." *People v Llewellyn (City of East Detroit v Llewellyn)*, 401 Mich 314, 322 n 4; 257 NW2d 902 (1977).

"According to MCL 324.21109(3) of NREPA, a local unit of government "shall not enact or enforce a provision of an ordinance that requires a permit, . . . [or] approval . . . for the installation, use, closure, or removal of an underground storage tank system." The act further provides that a local unit of government "shall not enact or enforce a provision of an ordinance

that is inconsistent with this part or rules promulgated under this part." M.C.L. 324.21109(2). Under the township zoning ordinance at issue in the instant case, Section 13.01(D)(5), Art XIII of the Dexter Township zoning ordinance requires a special approval use permit in order for the ZBA to permit an "automobile service station" in a general commercial district.

"Plaintiff contends that, because the township zoning ordinance requires plaintiff to obtain a special approval use permit in order to operate a gas station, i.e., a facility with an underground storage tank system, NREPA preempts that section of the zoning ordinance. This argument is not persuasive in light of the plain language of MCL 324.21109 1 and the plain language of the ordinance. Clearly, M.C.L. 324.21109 of NREPA neither expressly permits nor prohibits the operation of a gas station in a general commercial district. And, Section 13.01(D)(5), Art XIII of the Dexter Township zoning ordinance does not strictly regulate underground storage tanks, but rather promulgates rules for the operation of an automobile service station.

....

"Our Supreme Court set forth four guidelines to aid courts in determining whether a statute occupies the field of regulation:

First, where the state law expressly provides that the state's authority to regulate in a specified area of the law is to be exclusive, there is no doubt that municipal regulation is pre-empted.

Second, preemption of a field of regulation may be implied upon an examination of legislative history.

Third, the pervasiveness of the state regulatory scheme may support a finding of preemption. While the pervasiveness of the state regulatory scheme is not generally sufficient by itself to infer preemption, it is a factor which should be considered as evidence of preemption.

Fourth, the nature of the regulated subject matter may demand exclusive state regulation to achieve the uniformity may demand exclusive state regulation to achieve the uniformity necessary to serve the state's purpose or interest."

[*Llewellyn, supra*, 401 Mich 323-324 (citations omitted).]

Full Text Opinion:

<http://www.michbar.org/opinions/appeals/2004/120204/25398.pdf>

See also Attorney General Opinion 7266 (June 12, 2012):

<http://www.ag.state.mi.us/opinion/datafiles/2010s/op10345.htm>

Appendix C.

Note. This *Land Use Series* is regularly updated. The first edition was prepared May 16, 2002. Subsequent updates include:

- June 23, 2003; July 14, 2003; August 5, 2003; January 21, 2004:
 - County buildings, *Pittsfield Charter Township v. Washtenaw County and City of Ann Arbor*, 468 Mich 702, 664 N.W.2d 193 (2003)
 - Follow one's own ordinance, *Morrison et al. v. City of East Lansing*, 255 Mich. App. 505 (2003).
 - Public schools, *Charter Township of Northville et al. v. Northville Public Schools* 469 Mich 285, 666 N.W.2d 213 (2003).
 - State fair, *City of Detroit v. State of Michigan*, 626 Mich.App. 542 (2004), Wayne Circuit Court LC No. 00-021062-CE.
- December 6, 2005:
 - Takings, *Lingle v. Chevron USA, Inc.*, 125 S.Ct. 2074 (2005), and
 - repeal of section 251 of the Michigan Telecommunications Act, M.C.L. 484.2251) effective December 31, 2005.
 - Water pollution, *City of Brighton and Department of Environmental Quality v. Township of Hamburg*, 260 Mich.App. 345 (2004), Livingston Circuit Court LC No. 00-017695-CH.
- April 24, 2006: P.A. 110 of 2006, as amended, (being the Michigan Zoning Enabling Act, M.C.L. 125.3101 *et seq.*)
- June 26, 2006: Section 109, and 108(2) of Part 211 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended, (being the Underground Storage Tanks part of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, (M.C.L. 324.21109, M.C.L. 324.21108(2).)
- January 8, 2007: Large quantity water withdrawal added: Section 26 of Part 327 of P.A. 451 of 1994, as amended, (being the Great Lakes Preservation part of the Michigan Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, (M.C.L. 324.32726), effective February 28, 2006.
- May 2, 2007: Added *Herman v. County of Berrien* ((Published No. 273021, April 26, 2007) ___ Mich ___, ___ N.W.2d ___ (2007)) to footnote on county building exception from zoning.
- June 28, 2007: Added information on zoning regulation of railroads.
- January 30, 2008: Added information on snowmobile trails.
- April 9, 2008: To remove:
 - ‘4.C. If a county zones an area “business,” “commercial,” “industrial,” “manufacturing,” “service” or similar (or the area is not zoned), then it must allow billboards along state highways.’as a result of P.A. 93 of 2008 amendment to P.A. 106 of 1972, as amended, (being the Highway Advertising Act of 1972, M.C.L. 252.301 *et. seq.*) which provide counties the authority to regulate billboards.
- May 14, 2008: Added “Federal Instrumentality”; Case Name: *City of Detroit v. Ambassador Bridge Co.* Michigan Supreme

Court (No. 132329, May 7, 2008); and added “*Kyser v. Kasson Twp.*, Michigan Court of Appeals (Published No. 272516 and No. 273964, May 6, 2008).” to the footnote on gravel/sand mining.

- June 26, 2008: Added more detail about county building exemption from zoning as a result of *Herman v. County of Berrien* (Published No. 134097, June 18, 2008) Michigan Supreme Court.
- October 8, 2008:
 - added further discussion on federal supremacy concerning zoning not having jurisdiction over federal activities.
 - added wind energy power transmission lines as a result of M.C.L. 460.1001 *et seq.*
- December 10, 2008:
 - added farm market discussion.
 - television reception antennas
 - Added Appendix A. List of items which are subject to zoning, but confusions results in some believing the land use is exempt from zoning.
- February 11, 2009: Added appendix B.
- April 3, 2009: Added halfway houses operated by the Michigan Department of Corrections.
- August 7, 2009: Moved “farming” from “Preemption, Sort of” to “Outright Preemption” and revised text.
- January 18, 2010: Added “farm market” to list of GAAMPs.
- July 19, 2010: Removed from “5. Can Regulate, but Not Prohibit” the following text:

Local zoning can regulate extraction (mining) of natural resources (e.g., gravel, sand and similar pits), but this does not include coal, oil and gas.⁸⁰ Zoning can not prevent extraction of natural resources unless “very serious consequences” would occur. Regulations can include time limits for mining and reclamation. Extraction of minerals supercedes surface rights. (Oil and gas and coal mining can not be regulated, see 2H and 2I.) Further regulation of mineral extraction might be acceptable if the zoning is for a designated natural river.⁸¹

This was removed as a result of *Kyser v. Kasson Twp.*, July 15, 2010.⁸²
- July 14, 2011: Added nonferrous metallic mineral mining

⁸⁰*Certain Teed Products Corp. v. Paris Township*, 351 Mich 434 (1958); *Silva v. Ada Township and Ottawa Silica Company v. Brownstown Township*, 416 Mich 153, 330 NW2d 663 (1982); *Kyser v. Kasson Twp.*, Michigan Court of Appeals (Published No. 272516 and No. 273964, May 6, 2008).

⁸¹Section 30508 of Act 451 of 1994, as amended (the natural rivers part of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, M.C.L. 324.30508).

⁸²Michigan Supreme Court (No. 136680, 278 Mich. App. 743, 755 N.W.2d 190, 2008 Mich. App. (2008), July 15, 2010); *Kyser v. Kasson Twp.*

(nonferrous metallic sulfide deposits) to “Preempted, sort of.”

- July 20, 2011: Added to “Preemption, Sort of” mining of valuable natural resources which reinstates the *Silva v. Ada Township* “no serious consequences rule” along with additional specifics in statute (PA 113 of 2011).
- August 1, 2011: Added “Biofuel production facility” (PA 97 of 2011).
- December 21, 2011: Editing changes. Clarification of jurisdiction over farms concerning the Right to Farm Act.
- May 9, 2012: Added “fireworks” and “novelties” to “outright preemption.”
- May 29, 2012: Added “Wireless communications” to preemption, sort of.
- June 14, 2012:
 - Added pistols and firearms.
 - Relocated discussion on Fireworks to “Preempted, Sort of” reflecting A.G. Opinion 7266 (June 12, 2012).
- October 31, 2012:
 - Added Michigan Attorney General Opinion 7269, September 27, 2012, to footnotes on mining.
 - Added *Commodities Exp. Co. v. Detroit Int’l Bridge*, U.S. Court of Appeals Sixth Circuit No. 11-1758, September 24, 2012 to footnote on federal government preemption.
- January 3, 2013: Added the southeast Michigan Regional transit authority public transit facilities as exempt from zoning.
- xxx